



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/530,537	09/20/95	ABE F	WATR:040C

PARKHURST WENDEL & ROSSI
1421 PRINCE ST SUITE 210
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314

A1M1/0514

EXAMINER
PREISCH, N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1106	28

DATE MAILED: 05/14/97

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/530,537

Applicant(s)
Abe

Examiner
Nadine Preisch

Group Art Unit
1106



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nadine Preisch

(3) Jeffery Abel

(2) Walter Griffin

(4) _____

Date of Interview May 13, 1997

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☒ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: all pending

Identification of prior art discussed:

Inoue et al. (5,223,236) and Toyota Jidosha (JA 89-197061/27)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

It was suggested to applicants to file a cont. with a preliminary amendment.

to claim 1
- A tentative agreement was reached that the following amendment will overcome the prior art applied.

(b) a heat resistant oxide other than zeolite, wherein the heat resistant oxide is loaded with a noble metal.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Walter D. Griffin
WALTER D. GRIFFIN
EXAMINER
GROUP 1100

NP

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.